

**IMMOBILIZATION OF SACCHAROMYCES CEREVISIAE FOR BEER PRODUCTION: FERMENTATIVE CYCLE AND SENSORY ANALYSIS**

I Simpósio Brasileiro de Bebidas Fermentadas e Destiladas., 1ª edição, de 13/04/2021 a 16/04/2021  
ISBN dos Anais: 978-65-86861-97-6

COUTINHO; Úrsula Tereza Cordeiro <sup>1</sup>, SANTOS; Andreлина Maria Pinheiro dos <sup>2</sup>, MELO; Enayde Almeida <sup>3</sup>, KAMIMURA; Eliana Setsuko <sup>4</sup>

**RESUMO**

1. Introduction Beer sector is one of the areas with the greatest tradition in the fermentation process. Beer industries have been searching for innovation in production to improve industrial performance as continuous fermentation and immobilized yeasts (Es, Vieira & Amaral, 2015). Yeast immobilization is possible to use fermentation of wine (Moreno-García et al., 2018) production and, beer (Naydenova et al., 2014). Technique is physically confining cells in restricted space and biological activities in continuous or discontinuous operations (Moreno-García et al., 2018). This process promotes higher fermentation rates due cell density, increases and keeps specific metabolites, like aromatics, improves the control and stability of cells, allows regeneration and reuse of biocatalyst, eases the separation of the biocatalyst from reaction medium (Oliveira et al., 2011) and protects cells against shear forces. Technique can change yeast's morphology, growth, and physiology, affecting the formation of bioflavors (Nedovic et al., 2015), changing the product's taste and impeding traditional character's training. Also, solid immobilization matrix can interfere on flavor profile, and studies presented decrease or increase of the concentration of higher alcohols (Djordjevic et al., 2016), increase production of esters (Djordjevic et al., 2015), changes in concentration of aldehyde (Naydenova et al., 2014) and increase production of volatile compounds (Pereira et al., 2014). This project studies the efficiency of batch fermentation of beer using yeasts immobilized, evaluating the viability of the encapsulated cell for the reuse of the microorganism in different cycles and sensory evaluation. **2. Materials and Methods** 2.1. Wort The wort production occurred by the infusion method of ground Pilsen malt (250g/L) in water, keeping the temperature between 65 to 67°C. Hop Tradition (5.20% alpha-acid) were added on boiling stage. It was filtered and cooled to 20°C for inoculation. 2.2. Inoculum and Cell immobilization The inoculum was obtained add 0.575g/L yeast ( $3 \times 10^8$  cells/ml) in 10% (v/v) of wort, at 150rpm for 30 minutes for 24 hours at  $19 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ . The gel used in the immobilization was carried out add alginate (1.5% (w/v) in water at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  (Santos, Oliveira & Maugeri, 2007). After homogenization, inoculum (75% (v/v) was added in the gel solution with slow agitation, and dropped into reticulation solution of calcium chloride (0.2M). Diameter's spheres  $3.63\text{mm} \pm 0.24$ . Spheres storage at  $19 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$  until fermentation. 2.3. Fermentation The fermentation with immobilized yeast was developed in two steps. 1. Test tubes closed with cotton in volume of 20mL. 2. Studies of fermentation cycles carried out in polypropylene tanks (10L),  $19 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ , temperature controller. 2.4. Analysis The techniques the analysis shown at Table 1. Table 1. Analysis *Parameters Technique Substrate* Spectrometry (Miller, 1959) *Soluble solids* Refractometer *pH* pHmeter *Ethanol* Ebulliometer *Cell viability* Neubauer chamber *Sensory analysis* Triangular test ( $p < 0,05$ ) **3. Results and Discussions** Fermentation1, immobilized yeasts shown 69 hours less than fermentation free yeast (Figure 1). Ethanol production, consumption of substrate, and good productivity. Figure 1. Fermentation1 Yeasts immobilized maintained in the wort for 48 hours more than free yeasts, causing increase cell concentration and of cell density. Similarity between substrate consumption and alcohol formation in fermentations with free and immobilized yeasts (Figures 2, 3, 4), causing similarity of efficiency, productivity, and substrate-product conversion (Table 2). Figure 2. Fermentation2 Figure 3. Fermentation3 Figure 4. Fermentation4 Table 2. Ethanol production rate (PP), substrate-to-product conversion coefficient ( $Y_{P/S}$ ) and Process yield (R%). **PP(g/L.h)  $Y_{P/S}$  R (%)** *Fermentação1 Livre/imob.* 0.202/0.454 0.431/0.433 84.382/84.712 *Fermentação2 Livre/imob.* 0.564/0.579 0.434/0.438 84.981/85.754 *Fermentação3 Livre/imob.* 0.527/0.522 0.431/0.436 84.421/85.290 *Fermentação4 Livre/imob.* 0.568/0.556 0.439/0.449 85.953/87.791 There is decrease cell viability of yeasts immobilized in Fermentation4, approximately 44%. The deterioration in the structure of the spheres could be a possible cause (Figure 5). Figure 5. Deteriorated spheres The rupture the spheres is related with mechanical and electrostatic interaction between cell and alginate matrix and  $\text{CO}_2$  formation and/or chemical interaction of the matrix with components present in reaction medium, such as non-gelling ions and chelating compounds (Pajic-Lijakovic et al., 2015). Also, electrostatic interaction between alginate and cell membrane cause deterioration on spheres by repulsion and production of  $\text{CO}_2$  influence structural changes, generating internal pressure and rupture of structure spheres (Rafiee et al. 2014). Among 100 tasters, 55 identified the distinct sample among the three. The results shown significant sensory differences. Chemical and biological processes during the primary fermentation of immobilized cells limit cellular metabolism, causing sensory changes to the final product. **4. Conclusion** Immobilization technique allowed the reuse of biocatalysts in four fermentation cycles with a good yield of 84.71%, 85.75%, 85.29% and 87.79%. After the four cycles, the structure of spheres was damaged. Sensory analyse of beer with immobilized and free yeast shown a significant difference. **5. References** Djordjevic, R.; Gibson, I. I.; Vunduk, K. J.; Nikicevic, N.; Nedovic, V. Raspberry wine fermentation with suspended and immobilized yeast cells of two strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Wiley Online Library, 32, 271-279, 2015. Djordjevic, V., Willaert, R., Gibson, B., Nedovic, V. Immobilized Yeast Cells and Secondary Metabolites. Fungal Metabolites, 599-638, 2016. Es, I., Vieira, J. D. G., Amaral, A. C. Principles, techniques, and applications of biocatalyst immobilization for industrial application. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 99(5), 2065-2082, 2015. Miller, G. L. Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of Reducing Sugar. Analytical Chemistry, 31(3), 426-428, 1959. Moreno-García, J., García-Martínez, T., Mauricio, J. C., Moreno, J. Yeast Immobilization Systems for

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, ursicout@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, lia\_pinheiro@yahoo.com.br

<sup>3</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, enayde@hotmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Universidade de São Paulo, elianask@usp.br

Alcoholic Wine Fermentations: Actual Trends and Future Perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 2018.

Naydenova,V.,Badova,M.,Vassilev,S.,Iliev,V.,Kaneva,M.,Kostov,G. Encapsulation of brewing yeast in alginate/chitosan matrix: lab-scale optimization of lager beer fermentation. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 28(2), 277-284, 2014.

Nedovic,V.,Mibson,B.,Mantzouridou,T.F.,Bugarski,B.,Djordjević,V.,Kalušević,A.,Paraskevopoulou,A.,Sandell,M.,Smogrovičová,D.,Yilmazteki,M. Aroma formation by immobilized yeast cells in fermentation processes. *Yeast*, 32, 173-216, 2015.

Oliveira,M.E.S.,Pantoja,L.,Duarte,W.F.,Collela,C.F.,Valarelli,L.T.,Schwan,R.F.,Dias,D.R. Fruit wine produced from cagaita (*Eugenia dysenterica* DC) by both free and immobilised yeast cell fermentation. *Food Research International*, 44(7), 2391-2400, 2011.

Pajic-Lijakovic,I.,Levic,S.,Hadnadev,M.,Stevanovic-Dajic,Z.,Radosevic,R.,Nedovic,V.,Bugarski,B. Structural changes of Ca-alginate beads caused by immobilized yeast cell growth. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, 103, 32-38, 2015.

Rafiee,A., Alimohammadian,M.H.,Gazori,T.,Riazirad,F.,Fatemi,S.M.R.,Parizadeh,A.,Haririan,I.,Havaskary,M. Comparison of chitosan, alginate and chitosan/alginate nanoparticles with respect to their size, stability, toxicity and transfection. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, 4(5), 372-377, 2014.

Santos,A.M.P.,Oliveira,M.G.,Maugeri,F. Modelling thermal stability and activity of free and immobilized enzymes as a novel tool for enzyme reactor design. *Bioresource Technology*, 98(16), 3142-3148, 2007.

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE:** reutilization, cell viability, fermentative profile, triangular test, calcium alginate, beer

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, ursicout@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, lia\_pinheiro@yahoo.com.br

<sup>3</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, enayde@hotmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Universidade de São Paulo, elianask@usp.br